# The Chesters, Drem, East Lothian

# **Erosion and Topographic Survey**

Rampart Scotland Project 002:

# Season 3

David Connolly and Murray Cook

February 2012



The Chesters, Drem, East Lothian Erosion and Topographic Survey

Season 3

National Grid Reference (NGR):	NGR NT 50760 78260
Rampart Scotland Project No:	002
Prepared by:	David Connolly and Murray Cook
Illustration by:	David Connolly
Date of Fieldwork:	July 2012
Date of Report:	February 2013

#### Abstract

This report represents the results of Rampart Scotland's Hillforts of East Lothian Project Phase 3 and comprises the results of the continuing archaeological survey at The Chesters, Drem.

The eastern ramparted area continued to be was close contour surveyed, revealing an accurate topographical plan, and the entire area of the site was subjected to erosion survey, providing a damage assessment to be utilised for further management plans.

## **Table of Contents**

A	bst	ra	ct
---	-----	----	----

1	INTR	ODUCTION	3			
2	PRE\	/IOUS SURVEYS	5			
3	MAN	AGEMENT ISSUES	5			
4	SUR	SURVEY OBJECTIVES				
5	SURVEY METHODOLOGIES					
6	EROSION SURVEY RESULTS					
7	MAN	AGEMENT SURVEY RESULTS (Figure 3 & Table 1)	19			
	7.1	Livestock and Visitor paths	19			
	7.2	Gorse growth	20			
	7.3	Rabbit damage	21			
8	TOP	OGRAPHIC SURVEY RESULTS (Figure 4)	26			
	8.1	Topographic work	26			
	8.2	Chronological sequencing	27			
9	CON	CLUSIONS	27			
10	BIBL	BIBLIOGRAPHY 2				

Appendix 1: Photo List	29
Appendix 2: Discovery and Excavation in Scotland Report	38

## Illustrations

Figure 1: Location

- Figure 2: Previous site surveys
- Figure 3: Site plan and erosion survey
- Figure 4: Figure 4: Topographic survey

- 1.1. The overarching aim of Rampart Scotland and in particular the Hillforts of East Lothian Project is to provide dating and survey (topographic, geophysical and management) evidence from as many previously unexcavated hillforts in East Lothian as access can be gained to, in order to provide an framework with which to compare and contrast hillforts across Scotland (Connolly & Cook 2010, 2011). This project represents the second of three hillforts being examined by Rampart Scotland in East Lothian, the others being White Castle, Garvald and Sheriffside, Gifford.
- 1.2. This report presents the results of a second season of archaeological topographic erosion survey on the site of The Chesters, Drem, East Lothian (NMRS NT57NW 1; NGR NT 50760 78260; Figure 1). The project was undertaken in July 2012 in generally sunny conditions using volunteers as part of the ongoing training fieldschool of Rampart Scotland.
- 1.3. The Chesters faces a range of pressures and the survey was intended to aid its future management. In addition, the survey forms part of an on-going research project into East Lothian's hillforts and is intended as the first stage of specific project on The Chesters to include more topographic and surveys, geophysical survey and ultimately key-hole excavation.
- 1.4. The Chesters is one of the largest and best preserved hillforts in East Lothian, if not Scotland, with an internal measurement of c 120m east-west by c 50m north-south. It comprises a *multivallate* hillfort with up to eight banks and ditches, as well as extensive evidence for internal settlement. The maximum measurement of the visible upstanding remains are c 270m east-west and c 140m north-south. Intriguingly the site is overlooked to the south by a low ridge and is one of the few locations in East Lothian where there is no inter-visibility with Traprain Law.
- 1.5. To date, the only excavation works to have taken place on site involved the monitoring of the removal of two World War II observation posts, for monitoring the nearby Drem airfield (Yates 1976), which identified no significant archaeological deposits or finds.
- 1.6. The Chesters sits within an extensive series of cropmarks including undated pit alignments (NMRS NT57NW 46,49, 50, 51 & 52), ring ditch (NMRS NT57NW 45 & 48) and enclosures (NMRS NT57NW 50 & 104). Haselgrove (2009, 236) has suggested that the site may be connected with the local haematite source in the Garleton Hills.
- 1.7. The Chesters is a Scheduled Ancient Monument (**SMR 90072**) and a Property in the Care of Scottish Ministers, managed on their behalf by Historic Scotland.

- 2.1 The Chesters first appears in William Forrest's Map of Haddingtonshire in 1799. The site was previously surveyed by the Ordnance Survey in 1853/54, in 1893 by J. H. Cunningham (1895) and by RCAHMS in 1914.
- 2.2 The ongoing close contour survey is the first detailed examination of the topography of the site and the geophysical survey is now adding to the growing understanding on the site as a whole.

### **3 MANAGEMENT ISSUES**

- 3.1. The Chesters is both open to the public and an element of a working farm and as such has a series of management problems including:
  - Gorse roots
  - Rabbit damage
  - Visitor pressure
  - Stock pressure from cattle movement and grazing
- 3.2. Previous active removal of gorse has exposed bare ground which is now open to erosion. At present there are no signs of grass regeneration.
- 3.3. Rabbit damage is in some places quite extensive, however, no rabbits were observed during the period of the survey, though active burrows were recorded. Some burrows have collapsed causing the surrounding rampart to slump. In addition, in areas of cattle movement, there are signs of further collapse.
- 3.4. Visitor pressure is limited to well established routes that lead directly to the summit, crossing ramparts and causing deep scarring and rampart degradation. In some respects, the established path in some respects encourages visitors to follow it, thus increasing the damage. Significantly a new visitor entrance some 50 m to the southwest was established in 2012 (Figure 3).
- 3.5. During the survey a herd of some 20-30 dairy cows were present on the site, and while this clearly manages the level of grass, there is also however evidence for erosion tracks across upstanding features.



Fig 2: Previous site surveys

#### 4.1 The principal objectives of the survey were:

- a. To document the extent and severity of the various sources of damage to The Chesters visible from surface traces;
- b. To provide a report on the findings of the survey for the use as a resource by Historic Scotland for the future management of the site;
- c. To provide a survey to guide future research on the site;
- d. As an ideal location for the teaching of survey to archaeology students, adult education classes and volunteers;
- e. To compare and contrast management practices and results at The Chesters with White Castle.
- 4.2 This report highlights key findings relating to the erosional condition, topographic, geophysical and documentary research into The Chesters, making general recommendations and identifying future research proposals.

- 5.1 The erosion survey methodology was based upon that developed by the CFA, as outlined by in Historic Scotland's Technical Advice Note 16: *Burrowing Animal and Archaeology* (Dunwell & Trout 1999). The survey recorded visitor tracks, stock tracks, gorse damage and rabbit burrows (Figure 3).
- 5.2 A close contour survey was undertaken over interior of the site (Figure 4). Points were taken at a spacing of c. 1-3 metres along top and bottom of banks and ditches in addition to any discernible breaks in slope. In addition, random points were taken in the intervening spaces to ensure adequate coverage.
- 5.3 The survey was conducted using a Leica TCR 805 series Total Station with internal data logger. All points were processed through Lecia Geo-Office software and attributed on point-type. The survey was processed through Penmap software to produce a maximum resolution contour plot of 10cm intervals.

![](_page_9_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 3: Site plan and erosion survey

- 6.1 As described in *Section 3.2*, four different types of erosion were recorded in 2010 and 2011 (Connolly and Cook 2010, 2011): rabbit damage, cattle tracks, visitor tracks and gorse damage.
- 6.2 The updated 2011 results of the survey are presented in Table 1 and Figure 3, with the associated photographic record presented in appendix.

Ţ	уре	2010 Condition	2011 Condition	2012 Condition	Notes
1	Visitor track	Active / Superficial From visitor entrance onto site	No Change	No Change	From layby to interior, crossing all ramparts
2	Visitor track	Active / Intrusive Over ramparts and ditch, deep cutting and up to 1.60m wide	Improvement	No Change	As before with little noticeable change
3	Cut Gorse	Stabilised / Intrusive	No Change	Worse	There are signs of new growth and slumping of area exposed
4	Visitor track	Active / Intrusive Track over rampart causing slumping	Improvement	No Change	No real sign of improvement
5	Cattle track	Active / Superficial Beside fence	No Change	Improvement	Signs of stabilisation and grass cover
6	Rabbit scrapes	Active / Intrusive Exposes bedrock and rampart material	Improvement	No Change	Some stabilisation and grass cover
7	Cut Gorse	Stabilised / Intrusive	Improvement	Worse	No sign of regrowth and bank material stabilised

Туре		2010 Condition	2011 Condition	2012 Condition	Notes
8	Visitor /Anim al track	Active / Intrusive Over ramparts and ditch, deep cutting and up to 60cm wide	No Change	No Change	No sign of regrowth though bank material stabilised
9	Cut Gorse	Stabilised / Intrusive Although stabilising, there has been erosion of material down slope	Worse	No Change	New growth and continued heavy erosion of bank
10	Visitor track	Active / Intrusive Track causing serious damage to rampart, creating a deep cut	No Change	No Change	No sign of regeneration, but no worse than before
11	Cut Gorse	Stabilised / Superficial Roots have exposed soil for erosion	No Change	No Change	Some new growth appearing
12	Cut Gorse	Stabilised / Superficial Roots have exposed soil for erosion	No Change	Worse	Signs of new growth appearing though previous bank material slumping has stabilised
13	Cut Gorse	Active / Intrusive Roots have exposed soil for erosion	No Change	Worse	New growth appearing
14	Cut Gorse	Active / Intrusive Gorse is regenerating – roots causing further damage to rampart	No Change	Worse	New growth appearing
15	Cut Gorse	Active / Intrusive Gorse exposed rampart	No Change	Worse	New growth appearing

Ту	vpe	2010 Condition	2011 Condition	2012 Condition	Notes
16	Cut Gorse	Active / Intrusive Roots have exposed soil for erosion	No Change	Worse	New growth appearing
17	Cut Gorse	Active / Intrusive Roots have exposed soil for erosion	No Change	Worse	New growth appearing
18	Cut Gorse	Active / Intrusive Area now used by active rabbit	No Change	Worse	New growth appearing
19	Cut Gorse	Active / Intrusive Gorse is starting regeneration	No Change	No Change	Some new growth appearing
20	Cut Gorse	Active / Intrusive Roots have exposed soil for erosion	Improvement	No Change	No sign of regrowth but although bank material stabilised grass growth is not present
21	Cut Gorse	Active / Intrusive Roots have exposed soil for erosion - regenerating	No Change	No Change	Signs of new growth appearing though previous bank material slumping has stabilised
22	Cut Gorse	Active / Intrusive Roots have exposed soil for erosion - regenerating	No Change	No Change	Signs of new growth appearing though previous bank material slumping has stabilised
23	Cut Gorse	Active / Intrusive Roots have exposed soil for erosion -	No Change	No Change	Signs of new growth appearing though previous bank material slumping has stabilised
24	Cut Gorse	Active / Superficial Roots have exposed soil for erosion – regenerating	No Change	Worse	Signs of new growth appearing - bank material slumping has stabilised

Ту	/pe	2010 Condition	2011 Condition	2012 Condition	Notes
25	Cut Gorse	Active / Intrusive Roots have exposed soil for erosion – collapse in several areas.	Improvement	Improvement	No sign of regrowth - bank material stabilised and grassing over.
26	Cut Gorse	Active / Intrusive Roots have exposed soil for erosion – collapse in several areas.	Improvement	Worse	New signs of regrowth and bank material stabilised
27	Cut Gorse	Active / Intrusive Roots exposed soil for erosion – collapse in several areas.	Worse	Worse	New growth appearing bank material slumping has continued to erode
28	Cut Gorse	Active / IntrusiveRoots have exposed soil for erosion	Improvement	Improvement	No sign of regrowth and bank material stabilised Seems to be under control just now
29	Cut Gorse	Active / Intrusive Roots have exposed soil for erosion – rampart & core exposed	Improvement	Worse	New signs of regrowth though bank material stabilised (stone core and revetment of rampart is still exposed)
30	Isolate d Burrow	Old / Intrusive Exposes stone core of rampart	No Change	Worse	Activity seems to be increasing with enlarged burrows
31	Isolate d Warre n	Active / Intrusive 5 burrows evident	No Change	No Change	Still active but stable
32	Isolate d Warre n	Active / Intrusive 8 burrows evident	Improvement	Worse	Rabbits active – returned to reinhabit

Ту	vpe	2010 Condition	2011 Condition	2012 Condition	Notes
33	Isolate d Warre n	Stabilised / Intrusive 3 burrows evident	Improvement	Improvement	Rabbits no longer active
34	Area Warre n	Active / Intrusive 12-15 burrows evident – extensive undermining of rampart	Worse	Worse	Still active – causing more damage to banks
35	Area Warre n	Active / Intrusive 10 burrows evident with deep scarring of rampart exterior face	Improvement	Improvement	Rabbits still now occupying burrows.
36	Isolate d Warre n	Active / Intrusive 3 burrows evident	Improvement	Improvement	Rabbits no longer active
37	Isolate d Warre n	New / Intrusive New burrows removing material and with track 52 causing severe collapse of rampart	No Change	No Change	Still active
38	Isolate d Warre n	Stabilised / Intrusive	No Change	Improvement	No longer inhabited
39	Isolate d burrow s	Active / Intrusive Rampart degrading with track 53.	Improvement	Worse	Rabbits active – track still used Compound problem – where multiple erosional issues cause damage
40	Area Warre n	Active / Intrusive Rampart degrading with animal track 54 over	No Change	No Change	Still active with population

Туре		2010 Condition	2011 Condition	2012 Condition	Notes
41	Isolate d Warre n	Active / Intrusive Damage is increased by gorse cover removal 20.	No Change	No Change	Still active
42	Isolate d Burrow	Active / Intrusive Large amount of rampart interior excavated.	No Change	No Change	Still active
43	Isolate d Warre n	Active / Severe Noticeable deflation of rampart	No Change	Worse	Still active with a larger extent of burrowing
44	Isolate d Warre n	Active / Severe 11 burrows honeycombing area	No Change	No Change	Still active
45	Isolate d Warre n	Active / Severe 5 burrows	No Change	No Change	Still active but not extending
46	Area Warre n	Active / Intrusive several burrows undermining profile and causing rampart slump	No Change	Worse	Still active with a larger extent of burrowing
47	Area Warre n	Active / Intrusive burrows undermining profile and causing rampart slump	No Change	No Change	Still active but no more damage than 2011
48	Isolate d Burrow	Active / Intrusive 2 burrows close to summit, with some slumping	Worse	Worse	Extensive activity in area, causing bank collapse and undermine – continues to be a problem

Туре		2010 Condition	2011 Condition	2012 Condition	Notes
49	Stock Track	Active / Superficial Track beginning to erode surface	No Change	Improvement	Very little additional damage Path is stabilising
50	Stock Track	Active / Intrusive Track causing damage to summit of rampart	Improvement	Improvement	Very little additional damage Path is stabilising more than before
51	Stock Track	Active / Intrusive Two tracks converge on of rampart – upper track on summit is causing damage	No Change	Improvement	Some areas have same level of damage as before though more signs of some stabilisation
52	Stock Track	Active / Severe Two tracks converge to cut through ramparts	No Change	No Change	Some areas have same level of damage as before though signs of some stabilisation
53	Stock Track	Active / Severe Track causing deflation of rampart	No Change	No Change	Some areas have same level of damage as before though signs of some stabilisation
54	Stock Track	Active / Severe Rampart breached and slumping due to cattle track	Improvement	Improvement	Some signs of stabilisation
55	Stock Track	Active / Intrusive Small breach in rampart	Improvement	Improvement	Some signs of stabilisation
56	Stock Track	Active / Intrusive Small breach in rampart and traverses up exterior	Improvement	Improvement	Some signs of stabilisation

Ту	/pe	2010 Condition	2011 Condition	2012 Condition	Notes
57	Stock Track		Active / Superficial Stock track trough cleared gorse area is causing visible damage.	No Change	Stock track in cleared gorse area is visible but no worse than it was.
58	Rabbit burrow		Active / Intrusive Active burrows with large amount of fresh soil from bank core	No Change	Active burrows with fresh soil from bank core
59	Rabbit burrow		Active / Intrusive Active burrows (3) with fresh soil from bank core	No Change	Active burrows though no more soil from bank core
60	Rabbit burrow		Active / Intrusive Active burrows (6) with fresh soil from bank core	No Change	Active burrows (5) with soil from bank core – though seems to be less activity
61	Rabbit burrow		Active / Intrusive Active burrows (6) with fresh soil from bank core	No Change	Active burrows (6) with soil from bank core
62	Rabbit burrow		Active / Intrusive Active burrows (4) with fresh soil from bank core	No Change	Active burrows (4) with soil from bank core
63	Gorse			New	Active / Intrusive Gorse beginning regeneration – this is new
64	Gorse			New	Active / Intrusive Gorse regeneration – this is new
65	Rabbit burrow			New	Active / Intrusive Active burrows (6) with fresh soil from bank core – may relate to [48]

Туре		2010 Condition	2011 Condition	2012 Condition	Notes
66	Rabbit burrow			New	Active / Intrusive Active burrows (2) with fresh soil from bank core
67	Rabbit burrow			New	Active / Intrusive Active burrows (5) with fresh soil from bank core

Table 1: Erosion survey results

#### 7.1 Livestock and Visitor paths

7.1.1 The damage from visitors is very localised and clearly follows established paths. Visitor access is focussed along Tracks 1 and 2, leading directly into the interior, and there is no evidence for *ad hoc* alternative routes. Of course while this activity minimises the overall spread of erosion it concentrates it in particular locations. The new entry has had no effect on visitor activity, as they seem to continue on the old routes after entering the site via the new pathway. (Figure 3)

![](_page_19_Picture_3.jpeg)

7.1.2 It is likely that the visible stock erosion has been in place for years, as many of

PLATE 1: VISITOR DAMAGE 2 THROUGH UPPER RAMPART

the rampart breaches such as those associated with Tracks 10, 52 and 54, are significant and are likely to have taken some time to 'wear' through the rampart. Again, like the visitor tracks, these are now established and are in continued use, causing further but localised damage.

![](_page_19_Picture_7.jpeg)

PLATE 2: STOCK DAMAGE 10 OF RAMPART BANK AND SLOPE

- 7.1.3 Tracks 51 and 53 also interact with rabbit damage to create further erosion, with the undermined rampart more susceptible to collapse
- 7.1.4 A new stock Track 57 has appeared on the south east flank of the site (Figure 3) which runs along the slope for a distance of circa 40 metres. This is in part due to the clearance of gorse which has now allowed stock access to this slope.

#### 7.2 Gorse growth

- 7.2.1 The 2010 gorse clearance removed large areas of this intrusive vegetation and is to be welcomed in principle. However, the removal resulted in the exposure of unvegetated and loose soil and rampart material leading to some erosion.
- 7.2.2 There is also clear evidence of gorse regeneration and it is recommended that the situation is continued to be monitored.
- 7.2.3 Several locations are showing signs of new growth, though this may be controlled with grazing, however, although some areas of gorse are showing no sign of life, there is a potential that gorse could begin re-colonisation if left unchecked.
- 7.2.4 Indeed on the 3<sup>rd</sup> season of survey, there was not only signs of some limited regeneration of new growth, but also areas where previously there was none present (Gorse 63 & 64).

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

PLATE 3: REGENERATING GORSE AREA 24.

#### 7.3 Rabbit damage

7.3.1 The effects of rabbit infestation on archaeological monuments are now well attested and described in detail in the *Historic Scotland Technical Advice Note* (Dunwell & Trout 1999). There is evidence for intense colonisation across the whole site, although the east and south slopes are favoured. The loose composition of the rampart material favours burrows, and it is suggested that there is little depth to the inner area soil profile, which will in general prohibit intense rabbit activity.

- 7.3.2 In very specific locations, for example, the south slope, where warrens and isolated burrows penetrate the once-covered gorse slopes (Rabbit Damage 43–48) the erosion represents a real threat to the structural integrity of the rampart, with deep burrows and clear activity throwing up large amounts of core material. As mentioned above (Section 7.1.3) Rabbit Damage (31-39) combine with cattle tracks (Tracks 52 and 53) to increase the level of collapse. It is unclear as to whether the rabbit population is increasing or has decreased at the present as new damage is clearly in evidence (Damage 58-62) but other locations seem to be no longer active (Rabbit Damage 32,33,35 & 36).
- 7.3.3 It is clear that that rabbit activity is constant and while individual burrows may be abandoned one year they will be reused in subsequent years (30 & 32 for example).

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

PLATE 4: NEW RABBIT DAMAGE [59]

Comparative damage record example

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

PLATE 5: DAMAGE 56 IN 2010 AND 2011

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_3.jpeg)

Plate 6: Damage 56 in 2012

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure 4: Site plan and topographic survey

#### 8.1 **Topographic work**

- 8.1.1 The 1914 RCAHMS plan indicated a number of hut circles and enclosures and a complex configuration of banks and ditches. The topographic survey allowed a new close contour examination of the interior of the monument, which enhanced the level of detail to a point where subtle stratigraphic interpretation of these structures could be postulated. It is hoped to refine and report upon this survey and interpretation in future years.
- 8.1.2 The initial 2010 survey was concentrated on the interior, within the rampart while the 2011 survey extended the area to the east down as far as the large erratic stone boulder. This has already clarified several previously confused elements of the banks and ditches, where previous surveys had amalgamated banks or misaligned features.
- 8.1.3 In addition to the close contour survey, a visual inspection of the entire monument was carried out in 2010 with the Historic Scotland's Senior Archaeologist Richard Strachan. During this examination of the earthworks, in relation to the original 1914 plan (Figure 2), it became clear that at least 3 elements of the Second World War gun battery were visible as earthworks cut into the middle rampart on the north side (Figure 5) and that the earthwork configuration on the east side had been slightly muddled in transcription of the RCAHMS survey. It is this area that the 2011 survey was focussed on in order to understand further this section of the monument.
- 8.1.4 Topographic work in this season was concentrated in the southeast section of the site and was mainly concerned with training students from Edinburgh University, therefore, the amount of actually topographic survey was less than previous years. However, it did extend the area covered and fully micro surveyed the 'entrance track' (Figure 4).
- 8.1.5 This season's survey highlighted two rectangular platforms of unknown function (marked on Figure 4) to the south of the main east entrance route, both of which are heavily damaged by rabbits.
- 8.1.6 Further survey will continue to the east, to encompass the area covered by geophysical work in 2011, which itself would be useful to extend to the interior.

#### 8.2 Chronological sequencing

- 8.2.1 The chronological sequence of The Chesters is extremely complex and the visible remains represents to a certain extent the last phases of activity. In advance of physical excavation, let alone the completion of the topographic survey, any proposed sequence must be tentative at best.
- 8.2.2 The most obvious sequence, and the one outlined below is one of expansion and increasing defensive complexity, however, the excavations at Broxmouth (Hill 1982) demonstrate how much more complex the reality can be. However, a model of increasing complexity is still offered if only to provide a framework to test through excavation in future years.
  - **Phase 1:** Single Rampart and interior occupation
  - **Phase 2:** Double Rampart and interior occupation with activity between the two banks
  - **Phase 3**: Remodelling of approaches to east and west, with complex outworks and banks
  - **Phase 4**: Reuse of a possibly abandoned site, with structures cut into and over the inner rampart bank
  - **Phase 5:** World War II artillery emplacements
- 8.2.3 Further examination of the hut circles would allow perhaps further definition of stratigraphic chronology within the site. This further strengthens the argument for simple cost effective investigation and re-examination of these sites.

9 Conclusions

At present it is still too early to draw any firm conclusions from the survey, however, it is clear that management issues that appear small are persistent and presumably can cause significant damage over the long ter.

**Connolly D and Cook M,** 2010 Rampart Scotland Project 001: White Castle, Garvald, East Lothian, Data Structure Report and Costed Post Excavation Research Design, unpub rep

**Connolly, D** 2010 Rampart Scotland Project 002: Chesters Erosion and Topographic Survey, Drem, East Lothian, Data Structure Report, unpub rep

Cunningham, J 1895 Note on the Chesters, a Fort Near Drem, Proc Soc Antiq Scot, 30, 267-9

**Dunwell, A and Trout, R** 1999 *Historic Scotland Technical Advice Note 16: Burrowing animals and archaeology*, Edinburgh

Forrest, W 1799 Map of Haddingtonshire, Edinburgh

Haselgrove, C (ed) 2009 The Traprain Law Environs Project: Fieldwork and Excavations 2000-2004. Edinburgh

**Hill, P** 1982 'Broxmouth Hill-fort excavations, 1977-78: an interim report', in Harding, D W Later Prehistoric Settlement in South-East Scotland, University of Edinburgh, Department of Archaeology, Occasional Paper No. 8, Edinburgh

Ordnance Survey Maps - Six-inch 1st edition, 1843-1882, Scotland

**RCAHMS** 1924 Eighth Report with Inventory of Monuments and Constructions in the County of East Lothian, Edinburgh

Yates, M J 1976 'The Chesters Fort, Discovery Excav Scot, 32-3

Damage	Image File	Aspect	Description	Date
01	CH12_001	Southwest	Visitor track	12/08/2012
02	CH12_002	West	Visitor track	12/08/2012
03	CH12_009	Southeast	Cut Gorse	12/08/2012
04	CH12_003	West	Visitor track	12/08/2012
05	CH12_004	West	Cattle track	12/08/2012
06	CH12_007	East	Rabbit scrapes	12/08/2012
07	CH12_008	East	Cut Gorse	12/08/2012
08	CH12_005	East	Visitor/Animal track	12/08/2012
09	CH12_006	Southeast	Cut Gorse	12/08/2012
10	CH12_013	West	Visitor track	12/08/2012
11	CH12_063	Southeast	Cut Gorse	12/08/2012
12	CH12_022	Southeast	Cut Gorse	12/08/2012
13	CH12_019	East	Cut Gorse	12/08/2012
14	CH12_020	East	Cut Gorse	12/08/2012
15	CH12_021	East	Cut Gorse	12/08/2012
16	CH12_032	East	Cut Gorse	12/08/2012
17	CH12_034	East	Cut Gorse	12/08/2012
18	CH12_031	Southeast	Cut Gorse	12/08/2012
19	CH12_041	South	Cut Gorse	12/08/2012
20	CH12_041	South	Cut Gorse	12/08/2012
21	CH12_043	Southwest	Cut Gorse	12/08/2012
22	CH12_045	Northeast	Cut Gorse	12/08/2012
23	CH12_047	Southeast	Cut Gorse	12/08/2012
24	CH12_048	Southeast	Cut Gorse	12/08/2012

25	CH12_050	North	Cut Gorse	12/08/2012
26	CH12_052	North	Cut Gorse	12/08/2012
27	CH12_054	Northwest	Cut Gorse	12/08/2012
28	CH12_056	North	Cut Gorse	12/08/2012
29	CH12_053	North	Cut Gorse	12/08/2012
30	CH12_014	West	Isolated Burrow	12/08/2012
31	CH12_023	Southwest	Isolated Warren	12/08/2012
32	CH12_024	South	Isolated Warren	12/08/2012
33	CH12_025	South	Isolated Warren	12/08/2012
34	CH12_026	West	Area Warren	12/08/2012
35	CH12_028	East	Area Warren	12/08/2012
36	CH12_027	Southeast	Isolated Warren	12/08/2012
37	CH12_030	Southeast	Isolated Warren	12/08/2012
38	CH12_036	Northeast	Isolated Warren	12/08/2012
39	CH12_037	Southwest	Isolated burrows	12/08/2012
40	CH12_038	Northeast	Area Warren	12/08/2012
41	CH12_042	West	Isolated Warren	12/08/2012
42	CH12_044	West	Isolated Burrow	12/08/2012
43	CH12_046	North	Isolated Warren	12/08/2012
44	CH12_051	Northwest	Isolated Warren	12/08/2012
45	CH12_055	Northwest	Isolated Warren	12/08/2012
46	CH12_057	Northwest	Area Warren	12/08/2012
47	CH12_058	Northwest	Area Warren	12/08/2012
48	CH12_012	East	Isolated Burrow	12/08/2012
49	CH12_017	West	Stock Track	12/08/2012
50	CH12_016	South	Stock Track	12/08/2012

51	CH12_018	East	Stock Track	12/08/2012
52	CH12_029	West	Stock Track	12/08/2012
53	CH12_035	Northeast	Stock Track	12/08/2012
54	CH12_062	West	Stock Track	12/08/2012
55	CH12_049	East	Stock Track	12/08/2012
56	CH12_039	Southeast	Stock Track	12/08/2012
57	CH12_040	East	Stock Track	12/08/2012
58	CH12_059	Northeast	Rabbit burrow	12/08/2012
59	CH12_060	East	Rabbit burrow	12/08/2012
60	CH12_061	Southwest	Rabbit burrow	12/08/2012
61	CH12_040	East	Stock Track	12/08/2012
62	-	Northeast	Rabbit burrow	12/08/2012
63	-	East	Gorse	12/08/2012
64	CH12_010	Southwest	Rabbit burrow	12/08/2012
65	CH12_011	East	Rabbit burrow	12/08/2012
66	CH12_015	Southwest	Rabbit burrow	12/08/2012
67	CH12_033	Southwest	Rabbit burrow	12/08/2012

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

CH12\_013.JPG

CH12\_014.JPG

CH12\_015.JPG

#### The Chesters, Drem, East Lothian

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

CH12\_028.JPG

CH12\_029.JPG

CH12\_030.JPG

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

CH12\_043.JPG

CH12\_044.JPG

CH12\_045.JPG

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

CH12\_046.JPG

CH12\_047.JPG

CH12\_048.JPG

![](_page_35_Picture_5.jpeg)

CH12\_049.JPG

CH12\_050.JPG

CH12\_051.JPG

![](_page_35_Picture_9.jpeg)

CH12\_052.JPG

![](_page_35_Picture_11.jpeg)

CH12\_053.JPG

CH12\_054.JPG

![](_page_35_Picture_14.jpeg)

CH12\_055.JPG

CH12\_056.JPG

CH12\_057.JPG

![](_page_35_Picture_18.jpeg)

CH12\_058.JPG

CH12\_059.JPG

CH12\_060.JPG

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

CH12\_061.JPG

CH12\_062.JPG

CH12\_063.JPG

# Appendix 2: Discovery and Excavation in Scotland Report

LOCAL AUTHORITY:	East Lothian	
PROJECT TITLE/SITE NAME:	Rampart Scotland: The Chesters Season 3	
PROJECT CODE:	002	
PARISH:	ATHELSTANEFORD	
NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR:	David Connolly and Murray Cook	
NAME OF ORGANISATION:	Rampart Scotland	
TYPE(S) OF PROJECT:	Geophysical, Erosion and Topographic Survey	
NMRS NO(S):	NT57NW 1	
SITE/MONUMENT TYPE(S):	Hillfort	
NGR (2 letters, 8 or 10 figures)	NT 50760 78260	
START DATE (this season)	July-September 2012	
END DATE (this season)	July 2011	
PREVIOUS WORK (incl. DES ref.)	July 2010 – Topographic Survey and Erosion Survey	
MAIN (NARRATIVE) DESCRIPTION: (May include information from other fields)	As part of on-going research into East Lothian hillforts, further topographic, erosion survey, and limited geophysical survey was undertaken of The Chesters by Rampart Scotland.	
	This work was a continuation of previous seasons of survey and erosion appraisal first funded by Historic Scotland following a programme of gorse removal. The work was undertaken with volunteers and students as part of a series of training sessions.	
PROPOSED FUTURE WORK:	Further survey, geophysical survey and key-hole excavation	
CAPTION(S) FOR ILLUSTRS:		
SPONSOR OR FUNDING BODY:	Historic Scotland and Rampart Scotland	
ADDRESS OF MAIN CONTRIBUTOR:	6a Gladstone Place, Stirling, FK8 2NN	
EMAIL ADDRESS:	murraycook35@hotmail.co.uk	
ARCHIVE LOCATION	Archive to be deposited in NMRS	

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

## FIELDSCHOOL

![](_page_38_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_5.jpeg)

Fieldschool - Research - Training - Discovery A multi-disciplinary accessible investigation into Scotland's Prehistoric archaeology